UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
ROBERT BESEDIN, SR.,	X DOCKET NO.: CV-18-819 (NRM)(ST)
Plaintiff,	(11111)(31)
-against-	
COUNTY OF NASSAU, NASSAU COUNTY PODEPARTMENT, POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN BECKWITH AND POLICE OFFICER JOHN MANTOVANI in their individual and official cap	
Defendants.	X
<u>VERDICT</u>	FORM_
Pursuant to Rule 49 of the Federal Ru	les of Civil Procedure, plaintiff submits the
following Proposed Verdict Sheet in connection w	vith the trial of this action.
LIABII	LITY
FEDERAL O	CLAIMS:
False Arrest:	
Question 1:	
1. Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin prove he was subjected to false arrest by any of the Defe	en by a preponderance of the evidence that endants?
STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES NO
JOHN MANTOVANI	YES NO
If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes" as to Plaintiff's favor with respect to his unlawful arrest of	o either Defendant, you have found a verdict in claims. If the answer to Question 1 is "No" as to

Regardless of your answer to this question, please proceed to Question 2.

each of the Defendants, you have found in favor of the Defendants on this claim.

Excessive For	ce:			
Question 2:				
2.	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to excessive force by either of the Defendants?			
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
plaintiff's favo	ranswer to Question 2 is "Yes" as to or with respect to his excessive force he defendants, you have found in favo	claims. If the	answer to Question 2	
Regard	lless of your answer to this question, p	please proceed t	o Question 3.	
Question 3:				
3. he was subject	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin provented to malicious prosecution by either			ence that
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
plaintiff's favo	r answer to Question 3 is "Yes" as to or with respect to his malicious prose h of the defendants, you have found in	cution claims.	If the answer to Ques	stion 3 is
Regard	lless of your answer to this question, p	please proceed t	o Question 4.	
Question 4:				
4. he was subject	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin provented to abuse of process by either of the		lerance of the evide	ence that
	STEPHEN BECKWITH YES _	NO		
plaintiff's favo	JOHN MANTOVANI r answer to Question 4 is "Yes" as to or with respect to these claims. If the s, you have found in favor of the defer	e answer to Que	t, you have found a vestion 4 is "No" as to	

Regardless of your answer to this question, please proceed to Question 5.

## **STATE LAW CLAIMS**

$\sim$	. •	_
( )1116	estion	<b>→</b> ·
v	ะอนบท	

5. he was subject	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin proven by a preponderance of the evidence that cted to false arrest by any of the Defendants?			
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
	NASSAU COUNTY	YES	NO	
plaintiff's favo	r answer to Question 5 is "Yes" as to any dor with respect to these claims. If the answer, you have found in favor of the defendants of	er to Question 5 is "No		
Regard	lless of your answer to this question, please p	proceed to Question 6.		
Question 6:				
6. he was subject	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin proven by a sted to battery by any of the Defendants?	preponderance of the	e evidence that	
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
	NASSAU COUNTY	YES	NO	
plaintiff's favo	r answer to Question 6 is "Yes" as to any dor with respect to these claims. If the answer, you have found in favor of the defendants of	er to Question 6 is "No		
Regard	lless of your answer to this question, please p	proceed to Question 7.		
Question 7:				
7. he was subject	Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin proven by a sted to assault by any of the Defendants?	preponderance of the	e evidence that	

	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
	NASSAU COUNTY	YES	NO	
plaintiff's fav	or answer to Question 7 is "Yes" as to any deport with respect to these claims. If the answer, you have found in favor of the defendants of	er to Question 7 is "N		
Regardless of your answer to this question, please proceed to Question 8.				
Question 8:				
8. Has Plaintiff Robert Besedin proven by a preponderance of the evidence than was subjected to abuse of process by any of the Defendants?				
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	
	NASSAU COUNTY	YES	NO	

If your answer to Question 8 is "Yes" as to any defendant, you have found a verdict in plaintiff's favor with respect to these claims. If the answer to Question 8 is "No" as to each of the defendants, you have found in favor of the defendants on this claim.

If you have answered "Yes" to any of Questions 1-8 please proceed to Question 9. If you have answered "No" to all of Questions 1-8, you have found a verdict in favor of the defendants on all of plaintiff's claims. Please turn to the last page of this Verdict Sheet, have the Foreperson sign it and inform the Court that you have reached a verdict.

## **DAMAGES**

Compensato	ry Damages:

Question 9:					
9.	What amount of damages sustained a result of one of and/or having used excess process, and/or assaulting,	or more of the ive force and	he defendants hal/or maliciously	aving unlawfully	y arrested
\$	physical injuries				
\$	time spent in Nass	au County Ja	il		
\$	past conscious pair	n and sufferi	ng		
\$	future conscious p	ain and suffe	ring		
\$damages	past mental suff	ering, emoti	onal distress a	nd psychologic	al injury
\$damages	future mental suf	ffering, emo	tional distress a	and psychologic	al injury
Punitive Dar	mages:				
compensates	In addition to any compes him for the damages he acshould pay punitive damages?	tually suffer	ed, do you find	that one or mo	
	STEPHEN BECKWITH	YES	NO	\$	
	JOHN MANTOVANI	YES	NO	\$	
	have completed your deliber ould advise the Court that you	rations. The	Foreperson show	uld date and sig	
	ral Islip, New York ber, 2024				
		Si	gned:		
			Fore	enerson	